

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

★ ★ ★ ★ ★

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF CARROLL COUNTY	)	
WATER DISTRICT NO. 1 FOR A	)	CASE NO. 8960
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND	)	
NECESSITY	)	

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that Carroll County Water District No. 1 ("Carroll County") shall file an original and seven copies of the following information with the Commission with a copy to all parties of record by August 20, 1984. If neither the requested information nor a motion for an extension of time is filed by the stated date the case may be dismissed.

1. In response to an information request dated March 29, 1984, Item No. 4 shows a proposed bulk rate of 90 cents per 1,000 gallons. In response to an information request dated February 23, 1984, Item No. 1 shows the present rate for bulk sales to be \$2.00 per 1,000 gallons. Why are you proposing to decrease the bulk sales rate in the amount of \$1.10 per 1,000 gallons? If you are not proposing to decrease your bulk sales rate, what rate are you proposing to charge for bulk sales?

2. On February 1, 1984, a billing analysis of the existing test period level of customers was filed showing some 7,845 bills. On February 17, 1984, a response to Item

No. 3 stated that the multi family users had been included in the February 1, 1984 billing analysis as commercial users billed via master meters. With reference to Exhibit J, Page 3, a clause has been added to change the billing procedure for multi family users. In that, the billing procedure will change, the Commission requires a separate billing analysis for multi family users, wherein the proper analysis of those users can be made. It does appear that the summary results of such an analysis was filed May 7, 1984, however, we require an analysis similar to that filed February 1, 1984, for those multi family users specifically.

(A) In addition please amend your billing analysis of February 1, 1984, to reflect the reduction of multi family users.

(B) If a difference exists between the results of the required amended billing analysis for multi family users and the results provided May 7, 1984, please reconcile.

3. On February 17, 1984, a billing analysis for 184 proposed new customers was filed. In your amended application of July 27, 1984, it is stated that 236 new customers will be added to the construction project. Please provide an amended billing analysis for the projected new customers. In reviewing the amended application, it is noted that some of the existing multi family users may be reflected as new customers. If this is so, please identify and adjust the billing analysis requested in questions two and three to reflect any such overlap.

4. The Letter of Conditions, Exhibit F, filed in the amendment to the application dated July 26, 1984, shows 236 new users. Page 3 and 4 of the amended engineering report shows 111 new users and the original application shows 184 new users for a total of 295 potential users.

There seems to be some confusion with regard to the level of expected new users. Please provide the level of expected new users known at this time and identify how this level corresponds to the levels previously submitted.

5. Provide plans, specifications, preliminary approval from the Division of Water, and a hydraulic analysis supported by appropriate calculations and field measurements for the proposed "Change Order."

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 6th day of August, 1984.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Richard D. Hemm  
For the Commission

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary